arXiv:1210.8408v1 [math.FA] 31 Oct 2012

# CONVERGENCE OF SUBDIAGONAL PADÉ APPROXIMATIONS OF $\mathrm{C}_0$-SEMIGROUPS

MORITZ EGERT AND JAN ROZENDAAL

Abstract. Let $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of subdiagonal Padé approximations of the exponential function. We prove that for $-A$ the generator of a uniformly bounded $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup on a Banach space $X$, the sequence $(r_n(tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to $T(t)$ on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. Local uniform convergence in $t$ and explicit convergence rates in $n$ are established. For specific classes of semigroups, such as bounded analytic or exponentially $\gamma$-stable ones, stronger estimates are proved. Applications to the inversion of the vector-valued Laplace transform are given.

## 1. Introduction

In [14] the question was raised, whether there are complex numbers $\lambda_{n,m}$ and $b_{n,m}$ such that any uniformly bounded $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ with generator $-A$ on a Banach space $X$ can be approximated in the strong sense on the domain $\mathrm{D}(A)$ of $A$ by sums of the form

$$\frac{b_{n,1}}{t}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n,1}}{t}+A\right)^{-1}+\cdots+\frac{b_{n,m_n}}{t}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n,m_n}}{t}+A\right)^{-1},$$

as $n \to \infty$, locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$. In [14] such a method is called "rational approximation without scaling and squaring" because of the absence of any powers of the resolvent of $-A$ in the approximating sums. Recently, such and other rational approximation methods of semigroups have been used to provide new powerful inversion formulas for the vector-valued Laplace transform [14], [12].

Let us reformulate this problem a little. Suppose $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of rational functions such that the degree of the numerator of $r_n$ is less than the degree of its denominator and such that each $r_n$ has pairwise distinct poles $\lambda_{n,m}$ which all lie in the open right halfplane $\mathbb{C}_+$. Developing $r_n$ into partial fractions, we find complex numbers $b_{n,m}$ such that

$$r_n(z)=\frac{b_{n,1}}{\lambda_{n,1}-z}+\cdots+\frac{b_{n,m_n}}{\lambda_{n,m_n}-z}.$$

If $-A$ generates a uniformly bounded $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup, the open right halfplane belongs to the resolvent set of $-tA$ for any $t \geq 0$. By the Hille-Phillips calculus we

---

*Date*: November 1, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47D06, 41A21, 41A25; Secondary: 44A10, 65J08.

*Key words and phrases.* rational approximation, operator semigroup, functional calculus, Padé approximant, inverse Laplace transform, $\gamma$-boundedness.

obtain

$$r_n(-tA) = \frac{b_{n,1}}{t}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n,1}}{t} + A\right)^{-1} + \cdots + \frac{b_{n,m_n}}{t}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n,m_n}}{t} + A\right)^{-1}.$$

Hence our problem is solved, provided that we prove the following: there is a sequence $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of rational functions satisfying the properties above such that for any generator $-A$ of a uniformly bounded semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space $X$ the convergence

$$r_n(-tA)x \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} T(t)x \tag{1}$$

holds for all $x \in \mathrm{D}(A)$ locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$.

For bounded generators $-A$ this was proved recently in [18]. Therein, the authors take $r_n$ to be the $n$-th subdiagonal Padé approximation to the exponential function and then use a refinement of an error estimate shown in [14]. For this choice of $r_n$, numerical experiments in [18] hint at (1) to hold with rate $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for any (unbounded) generator $A$ of a uniformly bounded semigroup. However, no mathematical proof for this was known so far.

Our main result is that for $-A$ the generator of a uniformly bounded $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a complex Banach space $X$, $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of subdiagonal Padé approximations to the exponential function and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, the desired convergence (1) holds with rate

$$\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}})$$

for $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$, locally uniformly in $t$. In particular, the choice $\alpha = 1$ yields the rate suggested by the numerical experiments in [18]. Let us also mention that in this case the approximation method without scaling and squaring converges with the same rate as is known for the classical scaling and squaring methods due to Brenner and Thomée [3].

Moreover, we establish improvements in the following cases:

(i) The semigroup $T$ is bounded analytic. In this case (1) holds on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ for arbitrary $\alpha > 0$ with rate

$$\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$$

locally uniformly in $t$.

(ii) The semigroup $T$ is exponentially $\gamma$-stable (see Definition 4.7). Then for $\alpha > 0$ arbitrary, (1) holds with rate

$$\bigcap_{a<\alpha} \mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$$

on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$, locally uniformly in $t$. This holds in particular for any exponentially stable $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup on a Hilbert space.

(iii) The operator $A$ has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus (see Section 4.4). In this case (1) holds for any $\alpha > 0$ with rate

$$\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$$

on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$, locally uniformly in $t$. In addition, one has local uniform convergence in $t$ on the whole space $X$. This applies in particular if $T$ is (similar to) a contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a summary of the concepts that will be used throughout. Section 3 provides several technical lemmas that are crucial for the proof of our main results, which in turn are precisely formulated and proved in Section 4. The proof of the main result for uniformly bounded C$_0$-semigroups is based on the Hille-Phillips calculus. The result for analytic semigroups relies on the holomorphic functional calculus for sectorial operators. In the case of exponentially $\gamma$-stable semigroups we use results from [8]. Extensions of our main results to intermediate spaces such as Favard spaces can be found in Section 4.5. Section 5 provides applications of our results to the inversion of the vector-valued Laplace transform.

## 2. Notation and background

The set of nonnegative reals is $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ and the standard complex right half-plane is

$$\mathbb{C}_+ := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}(z) > 0 \} .$$

Any Banach space $X$ under consideration will be over the complex numbers. By $\mathcal{L}(X)$ we denote the space of bounded linear operators on $X$.

2.1. **Semigroups.** Given a *$C_0$-semigroup* $T = (T(t))_{t\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$, i.e. a strongly continuous representation of $\mathbb{R}_+$ on a Banach space $X$, we say that $T$ has *type* $(M, \omega)$, for $M \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. For any C$_0$-semigroup $T$ the *growth bound*

$$\omega_0(T) := \inf \{ w \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists M \geq 1 : T \text{ has type } (M, \omega) \}$$

is an element of $[-\infty, \infty)$. A C$_0$-semigroup $T$ with type $(M, 0)$ is called *uniformly bounded*, and $T$ is *exponentially stable* if $\omega_0(T) < 0$ holds.

For an operator $A$ on $X$ we denote its domain by $\mathrm{D}(A)$. We let $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be the *spectrum* of $A$. Then $\rho(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ is the *resolvent set* of $A$ and for $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ we let $R(\lambda, A) := (\lambda - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ be the *resolvent operator* of $A$ at $\lambda$.

For $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$, denote by

$$\Sigma_\varphi := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg z| < \varphi \}$$

the open sector with vertex 0 and opening angle $2\varphi$ symmetric around the positive real axis. An operator $A$ is *sectorial* of *angle* $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ if its spectrum is contained in $\overline{\Sigma_\varphi}$ and

$$\sup \left\{ \|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Sigma_\psi} \right\} < \infty$$

holds true for any $\psi \in (\varphi, \pi)$. If $-A$ generates a uniformly bounded $C_0$-semigroup then $A$ is sectorial of angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$. A C$_0$-semigroup $T$ with generator $-A$ is *bounded analytic* if $A$ is sectorial of angle $\varphi \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which in turn implies that $T$ is uniformly bounded.

2.2. **Laplace and Fourier transform.** By $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we denote the space of bounded regular complex-valued Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}_+$, which form a Banach algebra under convolution with the variation norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$. Any $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ defines an element $\mu_g \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by $\mu_g(\mathrm{d}t) := g(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$, where $\mathrm{d}t$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. In this manner $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is embedded isometrically in $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and throughout we will identify the function $g$ with the measure $\mu_g$ when convenient.

Let $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ be the space of bounded holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}_+$. This is a unital Banach algebra under pointwise operations and the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+} |f(z)| \qquad (f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)).$$

For $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ we set

$$\hat{\mu}(z) := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-zt}\, \mu(\mathrm{d}t).$$

Then $\hat{\mu}$ is the *Laplace-Stieltjes transform* of $\mu$ and $\mu \mapsto \hat{\mu}$ is a contractive algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we define the *Fourier transform* of $f$ by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}x\xi} f(x)\, \mathrm{d}x \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a contraction and Plancherel's theorem asserts that $\mathcal{F}$ extends to an almost isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sqrt{2\pi}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \qquad (f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

2.3. **Functional calculus.** Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup on a Banach space $X$ of type $(M, 0)$ with generator $-A$. For $\mu \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we define

$$\hat{\mu}(A)x := \int_0^\infty T(t)x\, \mu(\mathrm{d}t) \qquad (x \in X).$$

Then $\hat{\mu}(A)$ is a bounded operator on $X$ satisfying

$$\|\hat{\mu}(A)\| \leq M\|\mu\|_{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)},$$

which is well-defined because the Laplace transform is injective [17, Thm 6.3]. The mapping

$$\widehat{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \to \mathcal{L}(X), \quad \hat{\mu} \mapsto \hat{\mu}(A)$$

is an algebra homomorphism, called the *Hille-Phillips calculus*[1] for $A$.

One extends the definition of $f(A)$ to a larger class of functions on $\mathbb{C}_+$ by *regularization* [6, Sect. 1.2]. If $f$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}_+$ for which there exists an $e \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ such that $ef \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ and $e(A)$ is injective, we define

$$f(A) := e(A)^{-1}(ef)(A).$$

This yields an (in general) unbounded operator on $X$, and the definition is independent of the choice of the regularizer $e$. It is consistent with the previous definition of $f(A)$ for $f \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$.

If $A$ is a sectorial operator of angle $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$, we define the holomorphic functional calculus for $A$ according to [6, Ch. 2] as follows. For $\psi \in (\varphi, \pi)$ we set

$$\mathrm{H}_0^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) := \big\{g : \Sigma_\psi \to \mathbb{C} \text{ hol.} \mid \exists\, C, s > 0\ \forall\, z \in \Sigma_\psi : |g(z)| \leq C \min\{|z|^s, |z|^{-s}\}\big\}$$

and then define the operator $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ for given $f \in \mathrm{H}_0^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)$ as

$$f(A) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\nu} f(z)R(z, A)\, \mathrm{d}z,$$

---

[1] For more details on this concept see Chapter XV in [11]. The reader might also want to look at Section III.3.6 and IV.4.16 of this book.

where $\nu \in (\varphi, \psi)$ and $\partial\Sigma_\nu$ is the boundary curve of the sector $\Sigma_\nu$, oriented such that $\sigma(A)$ is surrounded counterclockwise. This integral converges absolutely and is independent of the choice of $\nu$ by Cauchy's theorem. Furthermore, we define

$$g(A) := f(A) + c(1+A)^{-1} + d\,\mathrm{Id}_X$$

if $g$ is of the form $g = f + c(1+\cdot)^{-1} + d\mathbf{1}$ for $f \in \mathrm{H}_0^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)$ and $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. This definition is independent of the particular representation of $g$ and yields an algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_0^\infty(\Sigma_\psi) \oplus \langle (1+\cdot)^{-1} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \to \mathcal{L}(X), \quad g \mapsto g(A),$$

the *holomorphic functional calculus* for the sectorial operator $A$.

One then extends by regularization as above. In particular, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_+$ the function $z \mapsto z^\alpha$ is regularisable by $z \mapsto (1+z)^{-n}$, where $n > \mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$, and yields the *fractional power* $A^\alpha$ of $A$ with domain $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$. One has $A^1 = A$ and $A^0 = \mathrm{Id}_X$.

If $-A$ generates a uniformly bounded C$_0$-semigroup, then by Lemma 3.3.1 and Proposition 3.3.2 in [6] the Hille-Phillips calculus extends the holomorphic functional calculus for angles $\psi \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$. In particular, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_+$ we can define $A^\alpha$ in the Hille-Phillips calculus yielding the same operator as in the holomorphic functional calculus.

2.4. **Subdiagonal Padé approximations.** Throughout, we denote by $r_n := \frac{P_n}{Q_n}$ the $n$-th *subdiagonal Padé approximation* to the exponential function, that is, $P_n$ and $Q_n$ are the unique polynomials of degree $n$ and $n+1$, respectively, such that $P_n(0) = Q_n(0) = 1$ and such that

$$|r_n(z) - \mathrm{e}^z| \leq C|z|^{2n+2}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a neighborhood of 0. Such polynomials $P_n$ and $Q_n$ exist and are unique due to [9, Thm. 3.11]. Moreover, the polynomials $P_n$ and $Q_n$ take the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(2n+1-j)!n!}{(2n+1)!j!(n-j)!} z^j, \\ Q_n(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{(2n+1-j)!(n+1)!}{(2n+1)!j!(n+1-j)!} (-z)^j, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

see again [9, Thm. 3.11]. As has already been observed by Perron [15, Sect. 75], the error-term $r_n(z) - \mathrm{e}^z$ can be represented as

$$r_n(z) - \mathrm{e}^z = \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{Q_n(z)} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+2} \mathrm{e}^z \int_0^1 s^n (1-s)^{n+1} \mathrm{e}^{-sz} \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Q_n(z) \neq 0$.

By a famous result of Ehle [5, Cor. 3.2], subdiagonal Padé approximations are $\mathcal{A}$-*stable*, i.e. for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $r_n$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closed left halfplane $\overline{\mathbb{C}_-}$ and it holds that

$$|r_n(z)| \leq 1 \qquad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_-}).$$

Furthermore, $Q_n$ has pairwise distinct roots [9, Thm. 4.11] and combining Corollaries 1.1 and 3.7 in [5], it follows that these roots are located in the open right half-plane.

## 3. Technical estimates

In this section we present a careful and rather technical analysis of the behavior of the subdiagonal Padé approximations on the imaginary axis. Also note that we shall mostly work with $r_n(-\,\cdot)$ rather than $r_n$, with a view towards the functional calculi from Section 2.3.

The following lemma, proved only recently in [10], is a key ingredient.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the following hold:*

$$|Q_n(\mathrm{i}t)| \geq 1, \quad \left|\frac{Q_n'(\mathrm{i}t)}{Q_n(\mathrm{i}t)}\right| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |r_n'(\mathrm{i}t)| \leq 2.$$

First estimates for the error-term $|r_n(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}|$ and its complex derivative can be obtained from the Perron representation (3).

**Lemma 3.2.** *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$ it holds that*

$$|r_n(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2}$$

*and*

$$|r_n'(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}| \leq \left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{4}{5}|z|^{2n+2} + (n+1)|z|^{2n+1}\right).$$

*Proof.* Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$. We first prove the estimate for $|r_n(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}|$. Taking the absolute value in (3) and computing the integral over $s$ using the representation of Euler's beta function gives

$$\begin{aligned} |r_n(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}| &\leq \frac{1}{|Q_n(-z)|}\frac{1}{(2n+1)!}|z|^{2n+2}\int_0^1 s^n(1-s)^{n+1}|\mathrm{e}^{(s-1)z}|\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|Q_n(-z)|}\frac{1}{(2n+1)!}|z|^{2n+2}\frac{n!(n+1)!}{(2n+2)!}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

As $Q_n$ is a polynomial having all roots in the open right halfplane, Lemma 3.1 and the maximum principle for holomorphic functions yield

$$\frac{1}{|Q_n(-z)|} \leq 1.$$

From (4) one now obtains

$$|r_n(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z}| \leq \frac{n!(n+1)!}{(2n+1)!(2n+2)!}|z|^{2n+2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2}.$$

For the proof of the second claim we begin with differentiating the Perron representation (3) with respect to $z$, obtaining

$$r_n'(-z) - \mathrm{e}^{-z} = T_{n,1}(z) + T_{n,2}(z) + T_{n,3}(z), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,1}(z) &= \frac{(-1)^{n+3}Q_n'(-z)}{Q_n(-z)^2}\frac{(-z)^{2n+2}}{(2n+1)!}\int_0^1 s^n(1-s)^{n+1}\mathrm{e}^{(s-1)z}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= -\frac{Q_n'(-z)}{Q_n(-z)}(r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}), \\ T_{n,2}(z) &= \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{Q_n(-z)}\frac{(2n+2)(-z)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\int_0^1 s^n(1-s)^{n+1}\mathrm{e}^{(s-1)z}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= -\frac{2n+2}{z}(r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}) \quad \text{and} \\ T_{n,3}(z) &= \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{Q_n(-z)}\frac{(-z)^{2n+2}}{(2n+1)!}\int_0^1 s^n(1-s)^{n+2}\mathrm{e}^{(s-1)z}\,\mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate these three terms separately. As all roots of $Q_n$ lie in $\mathbb{C}_+$, Lemma 3.1 and the maximum principle for holomorphic functions show $\|\frac{Q_n'(-\,\cdot)}{Q_n(-\,\cdot)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} \leq 1$. The first part of this lemma then implies

$$|T_{n,1}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2}$$

and

$$|T_{n,2}(z)| \leq (n+1)\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+1}.$$

Finally note that the only difference between $T_{n,3}(z)$ and $r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}$ is an additional factor $(1-s)$ under the respective integral sign. By the same arguments as in the proof of the first assertion of this lemma, taking into account that $\frac{n+2}{2n+3} \leq \frac{3}{5}$,

$$|T_{n,3}(z)| \leq \frac{n!(n+2)!}{(2n+1)!(2n+3)!}|z|^{2n+2} \leq \frac{3}{10}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2}.$$

Inserting these inequalities on the right-hand side of (5) eventually yields the claim. □

Clearly, the error-term $r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}$ does not converge to 0 uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ as $n \to \infty$. This is different for the modified error-terms

$$f_{n,\alpha} : \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f_{n,\alpha}(z) := \frac{r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}}{z^\alpha}, \tag{6}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$, as the subsequent lemma shows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2n+2)$. Then*

$$\sup_{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}} |f_{n,\alpha}(z)| \leq 2\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{n+1}}.$$

*Proof.* By $\mathcal{A}$-stability of $r_n$ one obtains

$$|f_{n,\alpha}(z)| = \left|\frac{r_n(-z)-\mathrm{e}^{-z}}{z^\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{2}{|z|^\alpha} \qquad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\})$$

and hence in combination with Lemma 3.2:

$$\sup_{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}} |f_{n,\alpha}(z)| \leq \sup_{z\in\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}} \min\left\{\frac{2}{|z|^\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2-\alpha}\right\}. \tag{7}$$

Note that $|z|^{-\alpha}$ is a strictly decreasing function and $|z|^{2n+2-\alpha}$ a strictly increasing function of $|z| \in (0,\infty)$. Hence, the supremum on the right-hand side is actually a maximum that is attained at the value of $|z|$ for which

$$\frac{2}{|z|^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |z|^{2n+2-\alpha},$$

i.e. for

$$|z| = \left(\frac{2(2n+1)!}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Inserting such a $z$ in (7) concludes the proof. ☐

The following two simple calculus lemmas turn out to be quite useful.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let* $u, v, U, V > 0$ *and* $0 \le w \le \left(\frac{V}{U}\right)^{\frac{1}{u+v}}$. *Then*

$$\int_w^\infty \min\left\{Ur^u, Vr^{-v}\right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} = V\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{\frac{v}{u+v}} \frac{u+v}{uv} - \frac{U}{u}w^u.$$

*Proof.* Let $r_0 \ge 0$ be such that $Ur_0^u = Vr_0^{-v}$ and note that $r_0 \ge w$. Therefore, we can write

$$\int_w^\infty \min\left\{Ur^u, Vr^{-v}\right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} = \int_w^{r_0} Ur^u \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} + \int_{r_0}^\infty Vr^{-v} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r}$$

and calculate the integrals on the right-hand side. Simplifying yields the claim. ☐

**Lemma 3.5.** *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *it holds that*

$$\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \le \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

*Proof.* Simply note that

$$\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} (n+1) = \left(\frac{n!(n+1)^{n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \le 1$$

holds. ☐

For the proof of our main result we will also need the following $L^2$-estimates for the restriction of the modified error-terms $f_{n,\alpha}$ to the imaginary axis.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}]$. *Then*

$$\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\alpha-1}}(n+1)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}$$

*and*

$$\|(f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le \left(\frac{8\alpha}{(2\alpha+1)^{3/2}} + \frac{13^\alpha}{10^\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{5^{2\alpha}}{6\cdot 13^{2\alpha}} + \frac{360}{13(2\alpha-1)}}\right)(n+1)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* First we prove the estimate for $\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. By Lemma 3.2 and $\mathcal{A}$-stability of $r_n$ we have

$$|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}t)| \le \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 |t|^{2n+2-\alpha}, 2|t|^{-\alpha}\right\}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, hence

$$\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 2\int_0^\infty \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^4 |r|^{4n+5-2\alpha}, 4|r|^{-(2\alpha-1)}\right\} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r}.$$

The latter integral perfectly fits into the setting of Lemma 3.4, yielding

$$\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha-1}\frac{32(n+1)}{4n+5-2\alpha}\left(\frac{n!}{2(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{n+1}}.$$

Now Lemma 3.5 and $\alpha \leq n+\frac{3}{2}$ imply

$$\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{16}{2\alpha-1}\left(\frac{n!}{2(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{n+1}} \leq \frac{16}{2\alpha-1}(n+1)^{-2\alpha+1}.$$

This proves the first estimate.

For the proof of the second estimate we begin by calculating

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}t) = -\mathrm{i}\frac{r_n'(-\mathrm{i}t)-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t}}{(it)^{\alpha}} - \mathrm{i}\alpha\frac{r_n(-\mathrm{i}t)-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t}}{(it)^{\alpha+1}} =: -\mathrm{i}g_{n,\alpha}(t) - \mathrm{i}\alpha h_{n,\alpha}(t) \tag{8}$$

for $t \neq 0$. Note that $h_{n,\alpha} = f_{n,\alpha+1}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)$ and remember that we have only used $\alpha \leq n+\frac{3}{2}$ to prove the first part of this lemma. Therefore we can apply the first part with $\alpha+1$ in place of $\alpha$ to find

$$\|h_{n,\alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\alpha+1}}(n+1)^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{8}{(2\alpha+1)^{3/2}}(n+1)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{9}$$

where the second step is due to $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}]$.

As for $g_{n,\alpha}$, combining Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$|g_{n,\alpha}(t)| \leq \min\left\{\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2\left(\frac{4}{5}|t|^{2n+2-\alpha} + (n+1)|t|^{2n+1-\alpha}\right), 3|t|^{-\alpha}\right\} \mathrm{d}t$$

for $t \neq 0$. For the moment, substitute

$$K := \left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad L := n+\frac{9}{5}.$$

As $|t|^{2n+2-\alpha} \leq |t|^{2n+1-\alpha}$ holds if and only if $|t| \leq 1$, estimating the minimum on the right-hand side of the equation above appropriately yields

$$\|g_{n,\alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 2\int_0^1 K^2L^2t^{4n+2-2\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t + 2\int_1^\infty \min\left\{K^2L^2t^{4n+5-2\alpha}, 9t^{-(2\alpha-1)}\right\}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}.$$

Now (10) below implies

$$\frac{9}{K^2L^2} \geq \frac{9(n+1)^{4\alpha}}{2} \geq 1,$$

which in turn allows us to compute the second integral on the right-hand side of our previous inequality by means of Lemma 3.4. Hence

$$\|g_{n,\alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{2K^2L^2}{4n+3-2\alpha} + \frac{18}{2\alpha-1}\left(\frac{KL}{3}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2n+2}}\frac{4n+4}{4n+5-2\alpha} - \frac{2K^2L^2}{4n+5-2\alpha},$$

which after simplifying and making use of $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}]$ leads to

$$\|g_{n,\alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{K^2L^2}{3(n+1)} + \frac{36}{2\alpha-1}\left(\frac{KL}{3}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2n+2}}.$$

For the first term on the right we use Lemma 3.5, $\alpha \leq n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{n+\frac{9}{5}}{n+1} \leq \frac{7}{5}$ in sequence to obtain

$$\frac{K^2L^2}{3(n+1)} \leq \frac{\left(n+\frac{9}{5}\right)^2}{3(n+1)^{4n+5}} \leq \frac{2}{3(n+1)^{4\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{2\alpha+1}(n+1)^{2\alpha-1}}. \tag{10}$$

As for the second term, Lemma 3.5 and the inequality $n + \frac{9}{5} \leq \left(\frac{13}{10}\right)^{2n+2}$, which can be easily verified by induction, yield

$$\left(\frac{KL}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n+2}} = \left(\frac{n!}{\sqrt{3}(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(n+\frac{9}{5}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n+2}} \leq \frac{13}{10}\frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Putting things together, we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_{n,\alpha}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{2\alpha+1}}(n+1)^{-2\alpha+1} + \frac{36}{2\alpha-1}\left(\frac{13}{10}\right)^{2\alpha-1}(n+1)^{-2\alpha+1} \\ &= \frac{13^{2\alpha}}{10^{2\alpha}}\left(\frac{5^{2\alpha}}{6 \cdot 13^{2\alpha}} + \frac{360}{13(2\alpha-1)}\right)(n+1)^{-2\alpha+1}\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from combining the estimate above, (9) and (8). ☐

## 4. Precise formulation and proof of the main results

4.1. **Uniformly bounded semigroups.** The following is our main result for uniformly bounded semigroups.

**Theorem 4.1** (Convergence for uniformly bounded semigroups)**.** *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup of type $(M, 0)$ on a Banach space $X$ with generator $-A$. Let $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ be given. Then*

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq C(\alpha)Mt^\alpha(n+1)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\|A^\alpha x\| \tag{11}$$

*for all $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$. Here, $C(\alpha)$ is given by*

$$C(\alpha) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2\alpha-1)^{1/4}}\sqrt{\frac{8\alpha}{(2\alpha+1)^{3/2}} + \frac{13^\alpha}{10^\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{5^{2\alpha}}{6 \cdot 13^{2\alpha}} + \frac{360}{13(2\alpha-1)}}}. \tag{12}$$

*In particular, for any $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ the sequence $(r_n(-tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly on* $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ *and locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$ to $T(t)$ with rate $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}})$.*

Having at hand the technical estimates from Section 3, the main step towards a proof of Theorem 4.1 is to identify the modified error term as the Laplace transform of an $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$-function provided that $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. This is will be a consequence of the subsequent lemma. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is then a straightforward application of the Hille-Phillips calculus.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ be such that $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-a})$ as $|z| \to \infty$ for some $a > \frac{1}{2}$. Then there is a function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that*

$$f = \hat{g} \quad \textit{and} \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\|f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*If in addition* $f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)$ *is differentiable and the derivative* $(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'$ *belongs to* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*, then* $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ *with*

$$\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* From the assumption that $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-a})$ it follows in a straightforward manner that $f$ belongs to the Hardy space

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{C}_+) := \Big\{h : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C} \text{ hol.} \;\Big|\; \|h\|_{\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{C}_+)} := \sup_{x>0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\|h(x+\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty\Big\}.$$

Hence, the first assertion follows from the Paley-Wiener Theorem [16, Thm. 9.2][2]. Also note that the extension of $g$ to the whole real axis by zero is equal to $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))$.

Now suppose in addition that $h := f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)$ is differentiable with derivative in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Plancherel's theorem implies

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Big(\xi \mapsto -\mathrm{i}\xi(\mathcal{F}^{-1}h)(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}h')(\xi)\Big) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore Carlson's inequality [2, p.175] yields $\mathcal{F}^{-1}h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with the estimate

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt{\pi}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}h\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}h'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

and thus by definition of $h$ and Plancherel's theorem

$$\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \qquad \square$$

Now, we are in the position to prove our main result for uniformly bounded semigroups.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Fix $t$ and $n$ as required. Note that $z \mapsto r_n(-tz)$ is the Laplace transform of a bounded measure by Lemma 4.2 and that $z \mapsto e^{-tz}$ is the Laplace transform of unit point mass at $t$. Hence, $r_n(-tA) = r_n(-t\cdot)(A)$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-t\cdot}(A) = T(t)$ are well-defined in the Phillips calculus for $A$. Next, set

$$f(z) := t^\alpha f_{n,\alpha}(tz) = \frac{r_n(-tz) - \mathrm{e}^{-tz}}{z^\alpha} \tag{13}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, with $f_{n,\alpha}$ as in (6). Then $f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ by Lemma 3.3 and $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-\alpha})$ as $|z| \to \infty$ thanks to the $\mathcal{A}$-stability of $r_n$. Moreover, $f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)$ is differentiable, with derivative in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 3.6. Thus, Lemma 4.2 yields a function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $f = \hat{g}$ and

$$\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{t^\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

By the Hille-Phillips calculus we have

$$(r_n(-tA) - T(t))x = f(A)A^\alpha x = \hat{g}(A)A^\alpha x = \int_0^\infty g(t)T(t)A^\alpha x \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{14}$$

Hence, by taking norms,

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq \frac{Mt^\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|(f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot))'\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\|A^\alpha x\|.$$

The conclusion follows by substituting the estimates from Lemma 3.1 for the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$-norms on the right-hand side of the inequality above. $\square$

---

[2] This theorem has to be slightly adapted to our case: Note that $\Pi_+$ in [16] equals $\mathrm{i}\mathbb{C}_+$.

**Remark 4.3.** With a view towards the original problem from the introduction it might be interesting to have a rough estimate of the size of $C(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 1$ and other small values of $\alpha$. We have

$$C(1) \leq 4.10, \quad C(2) \leq 2.76, \quad C(3) \leq 2.41, \quad C(4) \leq 2.28,$$

as can be shown by explicit computations.

**Remark 4.4.** Suppose that, in the setting of Theorem 4.1, $T = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is exponentially stable. Proceeding similar to the proof above we can, depending on the type of $T$, give a sharper upper bound for the approximation error. More precisely, let $T$ be of type $(M, -\omega)$ for some $\omega > 0$. With $f$, $f_{n,\alpha}$ and $g$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, Lemma 4.2 yields

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|f(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{t^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|f_{n,\alpha}(\mathrm{i}\,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence, by taking norms in (14) and applying Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq M \|g e^{-\omega \cdot}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{4\pi\omega}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\alpha - 1}} t^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} (n+1)^{-\alpha + \frac{1}{2}} \|A^\alpha x\|$$

for all $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $n \geq \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$, $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$.

4.2. **Analytic semigroups.** For $A$ a sectorial operator of angle $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\nu \in (\varphi, \pi)$, let

$$M_\nu := \sup_{\lambda \in \partial \Sigma_\nu} \|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| < \infty. \tag{15}$$

For bounded analytic semigroups the following improvement of Theorem 4.1 holds.

**Theorem 4.5** (Convergence for analytic semigroups)**.** *Let $A$ be sectorial operator of angle $\varphi \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ on a Banach space $X$ and let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the bounded analytic $C_0$-semigroup generated by $-A$. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ be given. Then*

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq \frac{4M_\nu}{\alpha\pi} t^\alpha (n+1)^{-\alpha} \|A^\alpha x\|$$

*for all $\nu \in (\varphi, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq \alpha - 1$.*

*In particular, for any $\alpha > 0$ the sequence $(r_n(-tA))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ and locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$ to $T(t)$ with rate $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$.*

*Proof.* Fix $\nu \in (\varphi, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $n \geq \alpha - 1$. Observe that for $t = 0$ the statement is trivial, whereas for $t > 0$ the operator $tA$ is also sectorial of angle $\varphi$. Hence, by replacing $A$ by $tA$ and noting that the value of $M_\nu$ does not change under this replacement, we see that it suffices to give a proof for $t = 1$.

To this end, let $\psi \in (\nu, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and let $f_{n,\alpha}$ be as in (6). Lemma 3.2 and $\mathcal{A}$-stability of $r_n$ yield

$$|f_{n,\alpha}(z)| \leq \min\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n!}{(2n+1)!} \right)^2 |z|^{2n+2-\alpha}, 2|z|^{-\alpha} \right\} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}_+), \tag{16}$$

so that in particular $f_{n,\alpha} \in \mathrm{H}_0^\infty(\Sigma_\psi)$. From the holomorphic functional calculus for sectorial operators one obtains

$$(r_n(-A) - T(1))\, x = f_{n,\alpha}(A) A^\alpha x = \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\partial \Sigma_\nu} f_{n,\alpha}(z) R(z, A) A^\alpha x \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

where $\partial\Sigma_\psi$ is oriented such that $\sigma(A)$ is surrounded counterclockwise. Taking operator norms and estimating the integrand on the right-hand side by means of (15) and (16) gives

$$\|(r_n(-A) - T(1))\,x\| \le \frac{M_\nu}{\pi}\|A^\alpha x\| \int_0^\infty \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^2 r^{2n+2-\alpha}, 2r^{-\alpha}\right\}\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r},$$

which perfectly fits into the setting of Lemma 3.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|(r_n(-A) - T(1))\,x\| &\le \frac{4M_\nu}{\alpha\pi}\frac{n+1}{2n+2-\alpha}\left(\frac{n!}{2(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{n+1}}\|A^\alpha x\| \\ &\le \frac{4M_\nu}{\alpha\pi}\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{n+1}}\|A^\alpha x\|.\end{aligned}$$

The rightmost term can be estimated by means of Lemma 3.5. This leads to

$$\|(r_n(-A) - T(1))\,x\| \le \frac{4M_\nu}{\alpha\pi}(n+1)^{-\alpha}\|A^\alpha x\|,$$

which is the required estimate in the case $t = 1$. □

4.3. **Exponentially $\gamma$-stable semigroups.** In this section we shall strengthen the convergence result from Theorem 4.1 for so-called $\gamma$-bounded C$_0$-semigroups.

Given a Banach space $X$, we say that a collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ is *$\gamma$-bounded* if there is a constant $C \ge 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\Big\|\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}'}\gamma_T T x_T\Big\|_X^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\mathbb{E}\left(\Big\|\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}'}\gamma_T x_T\Big\|_X^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all finite subsets $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, all $x_T \in X$ and all sequences of independent standard Gaussian random variables $\gamma_T$ on some probability space. As usual, $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation value. The smallest such constant $C$ is called the *$\gamma$-bound* of $\mathcal{T}$ and is denoted by $[\![\mathcal{T}]\!]^\gamma$.

Gamma-bounded collections are uniformly bounded (take $\mathcal{T}' = \{T\}$ for $T \in \mathcal{T}$) but the converse is not necessarily true. However, it is on Hilbert spaces as one can see by writing the norm on $X$ via the inner product and applying the independence of the respective random variables.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let $X$ be a Hilbert space. A collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ is $\gamma$-bounded if and only if it is uniformly bounded, with $\gamma$-bound equal to the uniform bound.*

Now, we specialize to C$_0$-semigroups instead of arbitrary collections of bounded linear operators. Note that for a C$_0$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\ge0}$, having type $(M,\omega)$ can be equivalently formulated by saying that

$$\sup\left\{\|e^{-\omega t}T(t)\| \mid t \ge 0\right\} \le M$$

holds. Together with Lemma 4.6, this shows that the $\gamma$-type defined below coincides with type for C$_0$-semigroups on Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 4.7** ($\gamma$-bounded semigroups)**.** A C$_0$-semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t\ge0}$ is said to have *$\gamma$-type* $(M,\omega) \in [1,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ if

$$[\![\mathrm{e}^{-\omega t}T(t) \mid t \ge 0]\!]^\gamma \le M.$$

The *exponential $\gamma$-bound* of $T$ is defined as

$$\omega_\gamma(T) := \inf\{\omega \mid T \text{ is of } \gamma\text{-type } (M,\omega)\} \in [-\infty,\infty].$$

We say that $T$ is *exponentially $\gamma$-stable* if $\omega_\gamma(T) < 0$.

The connection to the kind of results we are after is the following result [8, Sect. 5].

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup of $\gamma$-type $(M,-\omega)$, $\omega > 0$, on a Banach space $X$ with generator $-A$ and let $\beta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(M,\omega,\beta)$ such that $f(A)A^{-\beta} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and*

$$\|f(A)A^{-\beta}\| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}$$

*for all $f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$.*

For exponentially $\gamma$-stable $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroups we now derive the following extension of Theorem 4.1 to larger subspaces. Also note that we obtain a better rate of convergence on the subspaces covered by Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.9** (Convergence for exponentially $\gamma$-stable semigroups)**.** *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a $C_0$-semigroup on a Banach space $X$ with generator $-A$, and suppose that $T$ has $\gamma$-type $(M,-\omega)$ for certain $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$. Let $\alpha > 0$, $a \in (0,\alpha)$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ be given. Then there is a constant $C = C(M,\omega,\alpha - a)$ such that the estimate*

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq Ct^a(n+1)^{-a}\|A^\alpha x\|$$

*holds true for all $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > \frac{a}{2} - 1$.*

*In particular, for any $\alpha > 0$ the sequence $(r_n(-tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ and locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$ to $T(t)$ with rate $\bigcap_{a<\alpha}\mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$.*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.1, appealing to Proposition 4.8 instead of Lemma 4.2. Fix $t$ and $n$ as required and let $f$ be as in (13) with $\alpha$ replaced by $a$. Proposition 4.8 yields

$$\|(r_n(-tA) - T(t))A^{-\alpha}\| = \|f(A)A^{a-\alpha}\| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}.$$

Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 imply

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}_+} t^a\left|\frac{r_n(-tz) - \mathrm{e}^{-tz}}{(tz)^a}\right| \leq 2t^a\left(\frac{n!}{(2n+1)!}\right)^{\frac{a}{n+1}} \leq 2t^a(n+1)^{-a}.$$

Combining our previous two estimates we obtain

$$\|(r_n(-tA) - T(t))x\| = \|(r_n(-tA) - T(t))A^{-\alpha}A^\alpha x\| \leq Ct^a(n+1)^{-a}\|A^\alpha x\|,$$

for some constant $C = C(M,\omega,\alpha - a)$. This concludes the proof. □

**Remark 4.10.** Thanks to Lemma 4.6, the conclusion of Theorem 4.9 especially holds true if $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an exponentially stable $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup on a Hilbert space.

**Remark 4.11.** The above theorem yields a convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(n^{-a})$ on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ for arbitrary $a < \alpha$ but it does not make a statement concerning the limit case $a = \alpha$. This is different if, in addition to the hypotheses from Theorem 4.9, the operators $T(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ are invertible and the collection

$$\left\{e^{\omega' t}T(t)^{-1} \mid t \geq 0\right\}$$

is $\gamma$-bounded for some $\omega' \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. if one knows in addition that $T$ extends to an exponentially $\gamma$-bounded *group*. In this case the $\gamma$-version of the Boyadzhiev-de Laubenfels Theorem due to Kalton and Weis [7, Theorem 6.5] implies that $A$ has a bounded $\mathrm{H}^\infty$-calculus on $\mathbb{C}_+$. Hence Theorem 4.12 from the next section implies convergence of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$ on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ and even strong convergence on the whole space $X$.

Due to Lemma 4.6 this applies in particular if $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an exponentially stable semigroup on a Hilbert space for which all of the operators $T(t)$ are invertible.

4.4. **Semigroup generators with a bounded $\mathrm{H}^\infty$-calculus.** Throughout, let $-A$ be the generator of a uniformly bounded semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Banach space $X$. In all of our previous results, the convergence

$$r_n(-tA)x \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} T(t)x$$

took place locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$ for $x$ belonging to some proper subspace of $X$, at least if $A$ is unbounded.

In this section we show that this convergence can be extended to hold for all $x \in X$ if $A$ has a *bounded* $\mathrm{H}^\infty$*-calculus* on $\mathbb{C}_+$, i.e. there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)} \tag{17}$$

holds for all $f = \hat{\mu} \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$. The smallest such constant is the $\mathrm{H}^\infty$*-bound* of $A$. For such $A$ one has the following result.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a uniformly bounded $C_0$-semigroup on a Banach space $X$ with generator $-A$ and suppose that $A$ admits a bounded $\mathrm{H}^\infty$-calculus on $\mathbb{C}_+$ with $\mathrm{H}^\infty$-bound $C$. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ be given. Then*

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| \leq 2Ct^\alpha(n+1)^{-\alpha}\|A^\alpha x\| \tag{18}$$

*holds true for all $t \geq 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1$.*

*In particular, for any $\alpha > 0$ the sequence $(r_n(-tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $T(t)$ strongly on $\mathrm{D}(A^\alpha)$ with rate $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$ and locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$.*

*Moreover, $(r_n(-tA))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to $T(t)$ on $X$, locally uniformly in $t \geq 0$.*

*Proof.* First note that under these assumptions one can extend the Hille-Phillips calculus for $A$ to all functions

$$f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}),$$

by taking uniform limits of rational functions [6, Rem. 7.1.9] and then regularizing. This yields a proper functional calculus in the terminology of [6, Sect. 1.2] and (17) extends to all $f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ for which $\lim_{z\to\infty} f(z)$ exists.

Now fix $t$ and $n$ as required and let $f$ be as in (13). We have $f \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{C}_+})$ by Lemma 3.2 and $\lim_{z\to\infty} f(z) = 0$ by $\mathcal{A}$-stability of the $r_n$. Hence,

$$\|r_n(-tA)x - T(t)x\| = \|f(A)A^\alpha x\| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}\|A^\alpha x\|$$

and (18) follows from estimating $\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)}$ via Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5.

The addendum is obvious for $\alpha > 0$. Note that for $K$ a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$ the family

$$\{r_n(-tA) - T(t) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in K\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$$

is bounded thanks to (17). Since $r_n(-tA)$ converges to $T(t)$ strongly on $\mathrm{D}(A)$ and uniformly in $t \in K$ as $n \to \infty$, the denseness of $\mathrm{D}(A)$ in $X$ implies that this convergence extends to all of $X$. $\square$

**Remark 4.13.** The requirements for Theorem 4.12 are satisfied if $-A$ generates a $\mathrm{C}_0$-semigroup on $X$ that is (similar to) a contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space, cf. Theorem 7.1.7 and Remark 7.1.9 in [6]. In that case, one can choose $C = 1$ in (18).

Another situation to which this result applies is that in which $A$ is a sectorial operator of angle $\varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$ on a Hilbert space that satisfies certain square function estimates, cf. [4].

4.5. **Extension to intermediate spaces.** In this section we outline how to extend the results from the previous sections to classes of intermediate spaces. Throughout, let $-A$ be the generator of a uniformly bounded semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space $X$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we introduce the $k$-th Favard space

$$\mathrm{F}_k := \left\{ x \in \mathrm{D}(A^{k-1}) \mid L(A^{k-1}x) := \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \|T(t)A^{k-1}x - A^{k-1}x\| < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $\mathrm{D}(A^k) \subseteq \mathrm{F}_k$ while for non-reflexive Banach spaces this inclusion can be strict, see e.g. Section 5 below. It is therefore remarkable that all convergence results from the previous sections immediately extend from $\mathrm{D}(A^k)$ to $\mathrm{F}_k$ upon replacing $\|A^k x\|$ by $L(A^{k-1}x)$ on the respective right-hand sides. This is due to the subsequent lemma [13, Lemma 3.2.2], the proof of which is included for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and suppose there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \geq 0$ such that $\|Sx\| \leq C\|A^k x\|$ holds for all $x \in \mathrm{D}(A^k)$. Then $\|Sx\| \leq CL(A^{k-1}x)$ holds for all $x \in \mathrm{F}_k$.*

*Proof.* Approximate $x \in \mathrm{F}_k$ by elements

$$x_t := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t T(s)x \, \mathrm{d}s \in \mathrm{D}(A^k) \qquad (t > 0)$$

and note that

$$\|Sx_t\| \leq C\|A^k x_t\| = C \left\| \frac{1}{t}(T(t)A^{k-1}x - A^{k-1}x) \right\| \qquad (t > 0).$$

Now, the conclusion follows from passing to the limit superior. $\square$

Using the inclusions from Proposition 3.1.1, Corollary 6.6.3 and Proposition B.3.5 in [6], our convergence results also carry over to domains of certain complex fractional powers, as well as to real and complex interpolation spaces. This includes Favard spaces of non-integer order [13, Section 3.3].

## 5. APPLICATION TO THE INVERSION OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

Following an idea from [14], we show how the results from Section 4 can be used to obtain inversion formulas with precise error-estimates for the vector-valued Laplace transform.

Throughout, let $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ be the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $X$ equipped with the supremum norm. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $C^k_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X) \subseteq C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ be the subspace of $k$-times differentiable functions whose derivatives up to order $k$ belong to $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ and $C^{k,1}_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ the space of all functions $f \in C_{ub}^k(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ for which $f^{(k)}$ is globally Lipschitz continuous. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$ we let

$$L(f) := \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \| f(t + \cdot) - f \|_\infty.$$

On $C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ we consider the derivation operator $Af := -f'$ with maximal domain $C_{ub}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$. Then $-A$ generates the strongly continuous left translation semigroup $T_l = (T_l(t))_{t \geq 0}$, where $T_l(t)f = f(t + \cdot)$. By definition, the associated Favard spaces are given by

$$\mathrm{F}_k = \{ f \in C_{ub}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}_+; X) \mid L(f^{(k-1)}) < \infty \} = C_{ub}^{k-1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; X) \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Moreover, $T_l$ is of type $(1, 0)$ and for $f \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ we have

$$((\lambda + A)^{-1} f)(0) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} (T_l(t) f)(0) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \hat{f}(\lambda),$$

with $\hat{f} : \mathbb{C}_+ \to X$ the (vector-valued) Laplace transform of $f$. This identity enables us to convert our approximation result for uniformly bounded semigroups into an inversion formula for the Laplace transform. Let

$$r_n(z) = \frac{b_{n,1}}{\lambda_{n,1} - z} + \cdots + \frac{b_{n,n+1}}{\lambda_{n,n+1} - z} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda_{n,1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n,n+1}\})$$

be the partial fraction decomposition of the $n$-th subdiagonal Padé approximation. Applying Theorem 4.1 to $T_l$ and evaluating at zero gives the subsequent result for $f \in C_{ub}^k(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$. Lemma 4.14 then extends it to all $f \in C_{ub}^{k-1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let $X$ be a Banach space and $f \in C_{ub}^{k-1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $t > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimate*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_{n,j}}{t} \hat{f}\left( \frac{\lambda_{n,j}}{t} \right) - f(t) \right\|_X \leq C(k) t^k (n+1)^{-k+\frac{1}{2}} L(f^{(k-1)})$$

*holds true with $C(k)$ given by (12). In particular, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_{n,j}}{t} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n,j}}{t}\right)$ converges to $f(t)$ with rate $\mathcal{O}(n^{-k+\frac{1}{2}})$, locally uniformly in $t$.*

**Remark 5.2.** The Laplace inversion formula from Corollary 5.1 actually converges for any $f \in C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ that is $\alpha$-Hölder continuous for some $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ with rate depending on $\alpha$. This follows again from Theorem 4.1, using that such $f$ is contained in the real interpolation space $(C_{ub}(\mathbb{R}_+; X), D(A))_{\alpha,\infty}$, which by Propositions 6.6.3 and B.2.6 in [6] continuously embeds into $\mathrm{D}(A^a)$ for any $a < \alpha$.

**Remark 5.3.** Let us emphasize that Corollary 5.1 provides a Laplace inversion formula that does not require any knowledge of derivatives of $\hat{f}$ and only uses finite sums as approximants, compare with e.g. [12], [1]. Moreover, $C(k)$ can be computed explicitly, see also Remark 4.3.

**Acknowledgments**. The authors want to thank Hans Zwart for first coming up with the idea to use the results in [8] to prove something along the lines of Theorem 4.9, and Markus Haase for providing numerous useful comments and suggestions. The first author wants to thank Frank Neubrander for his kind hospitality and the inspiring discussions during his visit at Louisiana State University. The second author is supported by NWO Vrije Competitie grant 613.000.908: ”Applications of Transference Principles”.

## References

1. W. Arendt, C. J. K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 96, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
2. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
3. P. Brenner and V. Thomée, *On rational approximations of semigroups*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **16** (1979), no. 4, 683–694.
4. M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh, and A. Yagi, *Banach space operators with a bounded $H^\infty$ functional calculus*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **60** (1996), no. 1, 51–89.
5. B. L. Ehle, *A-stable methods and Padé approximations to the exponential*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **4** (1973), 671–680.
6. M. Haase, *The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 169, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
7. M. Haase, *Transference principles for semigroups and a theorem of Peller*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 10, 2959–2998.
8. M. Haase and J. Rozendaal, *Functional calculus for semigroup generators via transference*, In preparation.
9. E. Hairer and G. Wanner, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, vol. 14, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004.
10. M. Harrison, F. Neubrander, and L. Windsperger, *Sharp growth estimates for subdiagonal rational Padé approximations*, In preparation.
11. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1957.
12. P. Jara, F. Neubrander, and K. Özer, *Rational inversion of the Laplace transform*, J. Evol. Equ. **12** (2012), no. 2, 435–457.
13. M. Kovacs, *On Qualitative Properties and Convergence of Time-discretization Methods for Semigroups*, Ph.D. thesis, Louisiana State University, 2004.
14. F. Neubrander, K. Özer, and T. Sandmaier, *Rational approximation of semigroups without scaling and squaring*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., To appear.
15. O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, vol. 1-2, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, Berlin, 1913.
16. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
17. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 6, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941.
18. L. Windsperger, *Operational Methods for Evolution Equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Louisiana State University, 2012.

Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany
*E-mail address*: `egert@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

EEMCS, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, 2628CD Delft, The Netherlands
*E-mail address*: `J.Rozendaal-1@tudelft.nl`